Ĭ	Case 5:05-cv-01673-RS Document 104	Filed 10/18/07 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4	E-FILED on <u>10/18/07</u>	
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	TELE ATLAS N.V. and TELE ATLAS NORTH AMERICA,	No. C-05-01673 RMW
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME
14	V.	[Re Docket No. 95]
15	NAVTEQ CORPORATION,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiffs Tele Atlas N.V. and Tele Atlas North America ("Tele Atlas") move to shorten time	
19	for a hearing on its motion for leave to submit additional expert disclosure. Defendant NAVTEQ	
20	Corp. ("NAVTEQ") opposes the motion. The court deems the motion appropriate for submission on	
21	the papers. See Civ. L.R. 7-1(b).	
22	Civil Local Rule 6-3(a)(3) requires a showing of "substantial harm or prejudice that would	
23	occur if the Court did not change the time." Tele Atlas submits in its accompanying declaration that	
24	it seeks resolution of the motion for leave to submit additional expert disclosure so that it "may	
25	submit Dr. Neels' additional disclosure and offer Dr. Neels for further deposition well in advance of	
26	the deadline for NAVTEQ to file and serve its reply briefs on summary judgment." Baily Decl. ¶ 3.	
2728	However, Tele Atlas fails to explain what new expert opinion Dr. Neels will offer, how it might be	
40	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME—C-05-01673 RMW TSF	

Case 5:05-cv-01673-RS Document 104 Filed 10/18/07 Page 2 of 3 relevant to Tele Atlas' opposition to NAVTEQ's already-filed motion for summary judgment, or why Dr. Neels' new testimony could not have been obtained during the scheduled discovery process. Tele Atlas does not show how it will suffer "substantial harm or prejudice" if its motion is not heard on the standard schedule. For the foregoing reasons, the court DENIES the motion to shorten time. Tele Atlas' motion for leave to submit additional expert disclosure will be heard on November 30, 2007. Konald m whyte DATED: 10/18/07 RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME—C-05-01673 RMW **TSF**

Case 5:05-cv-01673-RS Document 104 Filed 10/18/07 Page 3 of 3 Notice of this document has been electronically sent to: 1 2 **Counsel for Plaintiff:** David Eiseman davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com williammorehead@quinnemanuel.com 3 William Morehead Melissa J Baily melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com 4 **Counsel for Defendant:** 5 Andrew Bridges abridges@winston.com dwebb@winston.com Dan Webb 6 George Lombardi glombardi@winston.com David Koropp dkoropp@winston.com 7 Kevin Joon Oh koh@winston.com dbloch@winston.com David S. Bloch 8 9 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program. 10 TSF Chambers of Judge Whyte 11 **Dated:** 10/18/07 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME—C-05-01673 RMW **TSF** 3